

# Michigan Department of Agriculture

# Food Service Program Cycle 3 Assessment Forms

Food & Dairy Division Michigan Department of Agriculture PO Box 30017 Lansing, MI 48909 Ph: (517) 373-1060

### Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

**Executive Summary** 

			Executive Summary
MPR		atus	Findings
	M/MC	NM/NA	
Plan Revi	ew		
1			
•			
Inspectio	ne		
	113		
3			
4			
5			
5			
6			
7			
8			
Records			
9			
Enforcem	ent		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
Staff Trai	ning & O	ualificatio	une
16	lillig & &		
17			
18			
			Warran and the state of the sta
Foodborn	ie iliness	investiga	itions
19			
20			
Important			d To Determine Accreditation Status
	М	NA	
Education	nal Outre	each	
IF 1			
Follow-U	o Inspect	tions	
IF 2			
Continuir	ng Educa	tion for R	egulatory Staff
IF 3			
Program	Support	1	
IF 4			
Industry 8	& Commi	unity Rola	tions
IF 5		unity ivela	wone
	COURONG	Drogram	
Quality A	Surance	<del>: Program</del>	
IF 6	1	Ī	

M= Met

MC= Met with Conditions

NM= Not Met

NA= Not Applicable

NOTE: Remember that CPA's must be written in the six element format described in Annex 1.

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency: Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial			
MPR Summary			
MPR 1 Plan Review Summary  of files had no problems.  % compliance rate. 80% required.  Specifics (Problem and number of times it occurred):	MET	MC	NM
MPR 2 Pre-Opening Inspections of files had no problems% compliance rate. 80% required. Specifics (Problem and number of times it occurred):	MET	МС	NM
MPR 3 Inspection Frequency Method 1 (Calculated from files)	MET	МС	NM
A. Number of facilities in sample meeting inspection frequency:			
B. Number of facility files reviewed:			
C. Percent of files meeting inspection frequency {(A/B) x 100}:%	(MET	=≥80%, lete D-F)	if <80%
D. Number of insp. conducted on time from all files reviewed:	оор	.0.0 2 . ,	,
E. Number of insp. that should have been conducted:			
F. Percent of required inspections completed {(D/E) x 100}:%	(MC= C	<80% &	F ≥80%)
Method 2 (Calculated from summary of all inspections performed)			
A. Number of routine inspections completed during review period			
B. Number of routine inspections due during review period			
Percent {(A/B) x 100}%			
☐ ERBIS in place for this time period: to			
MPR 4 Vending Inspection Frequency Department's inspection plan:	MET	MC	NM

partificità ilispection pian.		
☐ Every 6 months	☐ 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> each year	☐ 1/10 <sup>th</sup> each 6 months
mmarv		

Summary	,
A. # of vending location files that meet frequency	
B. # of vending location files reviewed	
C. Percent Compliance {(A/B) x 100}80% required	%

Comments:

Review Dates: Review Period: Review	wer(s): Initia	al		
MPR 5 Temporary Food Service of files had no problems. Compliance =% 80% required.		MET	MC	NM
MPR 6 Inspection Procedures  A. Files w/6 MET:Fixed/Mobile/STFU/VendingTotal files w/ no problems /Total files revie  B. If compliance =<80%: files w/no violation  If A=close to 80% and B is ≥ 80% and approved form	ewed =% Compl ID problems /To	iance. <b>80% re</b> otal files=	equired	for MET
Inspection problem specifics	Fixed/Mobile/STFU	Temporary	Vend	Total
The # of times each problem was found from all inspections reviewed. Total insp. reviewed=	#	#		#
Department uses unapproved inspection form Administrative info. not complete on inspection form				
Findings do not properly document and ID: C and NC				
Report does not summarize findings relative to law, is not legible and/or doesn't convey a clear message				
Narrative does not state violations observed and corrections needed				
Correction time frames not specified				
Info. about corrective action is not described on the inspection report  Report not signed and/or dated by Sanitarian		Noted under		
Report flot signed and/or dated by Sanitarian		MPR 5		
Report not signed by establishment representative				
MPR 7 FIELD- Identification of Critical Inspection	ns	MET	MC	NM
MPR 8 FIELD- Inspections Result in food Code C	ompliant Establishme	ents MET	МС	NM
MPR 9 Records		MET	МС	NM
MPR 10 Written Enforcement Policy, Proper Use of files had <u>no</u> problems.  Compliance % 80% required + acceptal Enforcement Policy Comments:	ble policy	MET	МС	NM
MPR 11 Unauthorized Construction - Stop Work	Order Usage	MET	МС	NM

Food Service Assessment Forms
Review Dates: Review Period: Agency:
Reviewer(s): Initial

MPR 12 FIELD-New Construction - Complies with law prior to licensure	MET	MC	NM
MPR 13 License Limitations  No reason for limiting license  Proper notice not provided  License application not appropriately completed	MET	МС	NM
MPR 14 Variances special processing methods request in file ? citing relavant code section numbers ? department has formal procedure for issuing variance ? staff following procedure ?	MET	МС	NM
MPR 15 Complaint Investigation of files had no problems. Compliance % 80% required	MET	MC	NM
MPR 16 New Staff- Academic Training in 5 Areas	MET	МС	NM
MPR 17 New Staff- Inspections with Standardized Trainer	MET	MC	NM
MPR 18 Other Staff- Training for Mobile, STFU, Vending and Temporaries	MET	МС	NM
MPR 19 Foodborne Illness Investigations Conducted of files had <u>no</u> problems.	MET	МС	NM
Compliance % 80% required			
MPR 20 Foodborne Illness Procedures	MFT	MC	ΝМ

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial		
Important Factor I - Educational Outreach Department not attempting to meet this IF	MET	NA
Important Factor II - Follow-Up Inspections Department not attempting to meet this IF	MET	NA
A. Number of files with ≥80% of required follow-ups completed w/in 30 days and critical corrections noted		
B. Number of files in sample		
Percent Compliance {(A/B) x 100} 80% required		
Important Factor III - Continuing Education of Regulatory Staff Department not attempting to meet this IF	MET	NA
Important Factor IV - Program Support  Department not attempting to meet this IF # licensed establishments/150 = A recommended num /225 = B minimum number F	<b>MET</b> ber FTE's TE's	NA s
# temporary licenses issued/300 = <b>C</b> FTE's needed for ter	nporary ir	nspections
D. Total Minimum FTE's (B+C)= E. Total Recommended FTE's	s (A+C)=	
<b>F.</b> Actual FTE's assigned to FS program		
Met if: F≥E orF≥D + 3,4,6,8,9,16, and 20 M or MC		
Important Factor V - Industry & Community Relations Department not attempting to meet this IF	MET	NA
Important Factor VI - Quality Assurance Program Department not attempting to meet this IF	MET	NA
6,7,8,10,15,19 and 20 are M or MC (If this line not met no further review is r	ieeded)	
Written quality assurance program developed		
Quality assurance review conducted every 24 months		
At least 10 inspection reports for each sanitarian's food insp. or FBI records	have bee	n reviewed
Every employee assigned to program has completed 2 joint inspections with trainer of	every 24 n	nonths

Food Ser Review Da			<b>ns</b> Agency: od: Rev	viewer(s):	Initial			
Vending M	IPR 4,6,9							
Company	·		_ Location _					
Dates	Activity Type	Freq.	App./ License/ Year	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM	9 M NM	MPR	Problem
	R FU E	compiles	I Gai	4 W WW	O IVI INIVI	3 IVI IVIVI		Υ
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
Company			_ Location _					<u> </u>
Dates	Activity	Freq.	App./ License/	-	Notes	<u> </u>	MPR	Problem
Dates	Activity Type	complies	Year	4 M NM		9 M NM	WIFK	Problem
	R FU E							Υ
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
				ı				•
0			1 4!					
Company	·		_ Location _					
Dates	Activity Type	Freq.	App./ License/ Year	4 M NM	Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Problem
	Activity Type R FU E	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Υ
	Activity Type	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Y
	Activity Type R FU E	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Υ
	Activity Type R FU E R FU E	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Y
	Activity Type R FU E R FU E R FU E	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Y Y Y
	Activity Type R FU E R FU E R FU E R FU E	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year	4 M NM	Notes	9 M NM	MPR	Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM		MPR	Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM	9 M NM		Y Y Y Y Y Y Problem
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Dates	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Company	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies  Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/ Year	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Company	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies  Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/ Year	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM Notes 6 M NM			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Company	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies  Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/ Year  Location _	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM Notes 6 M NM		MPR	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Problem Y Y Y Y Problem
Company	Activity Type R FU E	Freq. complies  Freq. complies	App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/ Year  Location _  App./ License/	4 M NM	Notes 6 M NM  Notes 6 M NM  Notes 6 M NM	9 M NM	MPR	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Dates	Activity	Freq.	App./ License/		Notes		MPR	Problem
	Type	complies	Year	4 M NM	6 M NM	9 M NM		
	R FU E							Υ
	R FU E							Υ
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y
	R FU E							Y

Vend. Location files that meet freq.=\_\_\_\_\_ Total vend. locations reviewed=\_\_\_\_\_ %=\_\_\_\_

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:
Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

## MPR 5, 6, 9 Temporary Food Worksheet \*\*\*\*\*\*\* GET ANNUAL # FROM QUAR. REPORT Note: Put letters in boxes as licenses are reviewed.

5	a.	Inspected prior to licensure, but not in advance of event being ready for inspection.
	b.	Application has sections A,B, food column of F and attachment A (when used) completed plus
		have application, inspection and license approval date plus sanitarian signature
	C.	License issued with no unresolved critical violations
6		See list in MPR indicator guide
9		Record retention adequate time. Files can be located for review.

Office	Year	License #	5	6	anacific problem noted	# Reviewed/ issued:
Office	rear	License #	5	В	specific problem noted	
						Year:
						Year:
						Year:
						Year:
						Notes (put MPR 9
						Notes (put MPR 9 problems here):
						_
						_
						-
						_
						-
						-
			-			_
						_
						_
		th Problems				
# / % fo	r year:					
# / % fo	r vear:					
# / % fo	r year:					
	# / % fc	or year:		1		
			М			
			NM			
			1		<u> </u>	

<b>Food Service</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	Forms	Agency:

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

#### **MPR 15 Consumer Complaint Worksheet**

Complaint ID	15	15	15	Met
	Log maintained	Results recorded	Working Days from	
	& records	(or justification for	Receipt to Start of	Not Met
	available for	no investigation)	Investigation	
	review		(Max. 5 working days allowed)	Problem
				Υ
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Y
				Υ
				Υ
				Υ
				Υ
Total				
%				

Notes:

#### MPR 16 Staff Technical Training

Have new staff assigned to program during review period completed training in following within 12 months of assignment: 1. public health principles, 2. communication skills, 3. microbiology, 4. epidemiology, 5. food law, food code, related policies.?

#### MPR 17 Fixed Food Service Inspection Skills

Have new staff completed 25 joint training inspections with standardized trainer, 25 independent inspections reviewed by trainer, 5 evaluation inspections with trainer and have endorsement of trainer?

#### MPR 18 Specialty Food Service Inspection Skills

Do staff conducting mobile, STFU, vending or temporary inspections have endorsement by supervisor?

	Food	<b>Service</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Forms</b>	Agency:
--	------	----------------	-------------------	--------------	---------

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

#### MPR 19 & 20 Foodborne Illness Investigations Worksheet

Complaint ID	20 Complaint on log / Log Review Timely?	20 IAFP Procedures Used?	19 Invest. Initiated within 24 hours?	19 If Outbreak, Report to MDA w/in 90 Days of Closure?	Problem
					Υ
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Υ
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
					Y
Total					
%			1		

Notes:	
20 - IAFP 5 <sup>th</sup> edition on-site?	

	<b>Food Service</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Forms</b>	Agency:
--	---------------------	-------------------	--------------	---------

Review Dates:	Review Period:	Reviewer(s):	Initial
		(-)	

**MPR's 1, 2, 6, 9, 11: Plan Review Worksheet** 

Facility Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Type: \_\_\_\_ New \_\_\_ Remodeled

License year: Insp. Date: Date License Signed:

Indicator	Item Required	Status*	Notes	Problem
1	Application / Transmittal letter (new 1/01)			Υ
1	Menu (new 8/86)			Υ
1	Layout (floor) Plan			Υ
1	Plumbing Plan			Υ
1	Ventilation Hood shown (full plans			Υ
	needed for stfu's, mobiles)			
1	Lighting Plan &/or Specifications			Υ
1	Scaled Drawings			Υ
1	Completed Worksheet			Υ
1	Equipment Specifications			Υ
1	SOP's (10/04) Either note on reviewer's checklist, SOP cover sheet or note on			Y
	pre-opening insp.			
1	Reviewer's checklist used (1/04)?			Υ
1	Applicant informed of deficiencies?  Deficiencies resolved in writing or on			Y
	revised plans. Is the flow between reviewer and applicant clear?			
1	Formulas calculated, documented for hot water, dry storage, refrigeration? (needed, proposed, justification for differences)			Y
1	Approval letter in file? (describe project scope & reference to date on plans-1/04)		Date:	Y
11	Was facility constructed prior to approval? (Note if approvals issued very close to or after opening inspection)			Y
11	Stop work order used as needed? Did department take appropriate action once it became aware of illegal construction?			Y
2	Facility opened with <b>NO</b> critical items pending?			Y
2	Pre-opening inspection in file?			Υ
2	Is inspection marked approved to open?			Υ
2	Inspection dated on or before license approval date?			Y
6	Inspection on regular inspection form, properly completed, dated and signed?			Y
IFII	Follow-up inspection on separate form?			Υ
9	Records		Records retained for: years	Y

<sup>✓=</sup>yes, x=no, NA=not applicable

#### MPR

MET	NM
MET	NM
	MET MET MET

IFII FU:done late=DONE /DUE=% Compliance FUP = M						NM NM NM
Dates	Activity Type	Routine Freq.	Time Between	Notes	MPR	Problem
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Υ
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Υ
	R FU Enf					Υ
	R FU Enf					Υ
	R FU Enf					Υ
	R FU Enf					Y
	R FU Enf					Υ
License Year	License in File?	Date App. Signed		Findings	MPR	Problem

License Year	License in File?	Date App. Signed	Findings	MPR	Problem
0					Υ
0					Y
0					Y

C CATOI Critical Violation OC Office Conference Informal Hearing Enforcement Action Corrected at time of inspection ΙH Routine Inspection R Enf FU ٧ Violation

Follow-up inspection Routine Frequency: List the # of months scheduled between inspections (6,12,18 months or S for seasonal). Time Between

Routine Inspection: List time (months) since last routine inspection if >1 month overdue.

Follow-up: List time in days from the most recent routine inspection or previous follow-up if > 30 days. Note when no FU or ENF was done when a FU or ENF was necessary. Note yes under problem when the routine is >1 month overdue for, if seasonal is not done once each operation period or the follow-up is >30 days. **Notes:** List any problem with inspections/licenses found. Note variances and if properly done. Files with no follow-ups due receive a met.

Food Service Assessment Forms
Review Dates: Review Period: Agency:
Reviewer(s): Initial

	Facility File Sample Size:	Plan Review Sample Size:
Office:		
Field:		

Pla Revi	Plan Review		Review		
Office	Field	Office	Field	Facility Name	Facility Address
				,	
		<u></u>			

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:
Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

### Office Worksheet - MPR 7, 8 (Field)

Establishment:		E	st #	_ LHD	insp. da	ates:		
List LHD inspection notes on the								/-
Office worksheet. Mark a corresp							ation. Use a	" <i>V</i> "
if the LHD also identified the viol <b>Principle</b>	ation. C	ise a "⊗" if	tormai ento			•	00	
Demonstration of				ЕПО	mspec	tion Not	<del>5</del> 5	
Knowledge								
Kilowieuge								
Consumer Advisory								
Employee Health - reporting,								
exclusion, restriction, eating,								
tasting, handling animals,								
smoking								
No Bare Hand Contact								
Handwashing – hands								
washed, handwashing								
procedures, sinks provided/ located								
Date Marking-								
Discarding								
2.000.09								
Food Approved Source								
Food - Approved Source, shellstock tags, record								
parasite destruction, cross-								
contamination, condition, re-								
service, highly suscept. pop.								
Food Time/temp – cooking, cooling, hot/cold holding,								
reheating, time								
3,								
- 10 / 10 /								
Food Contact Surfaces – material, cleanable, clean,								
frequency, maintenance								
,								
Sanitization – temp,								
concentration, procedure,								
Chemical – food additives,								
sulfites, storage, approved								
labeling, medications								

Food Service Assessment Fo	rms Agency	<b>/</b> :	
Review Dates: Review Pe		Reviewer(s):	Initial
		( )	
Establishment #:			
20tabiloiiiiloite #1		LHD Inspection No	ites
Pest Control –minimized,		Ziib iliopootioli ito	
animals			
Water Supply / Sewage			
Plumbing-Cross			
Connect			
NON ODITION			
NON-CRITICAL			
Toilet/Lav Facilities-			
accessible, signs, hot			
water, soap, vent towel,			
doors, covered recpt.			
Personnel – fingernails,			
jewelry, outer clothing,			
-			
hair restraints			
Food Protection - thawing,			
covered, off floor, approved			
storage location, labeling,			
Equip/Utensils – nonfood			
contact: condition, materials,			
cleanliness // in-use storage, capacity, thermometer,			
handling, storage, vented, test			
kit tableware, warewashing			
Linens, Wiping Cloths,			
Sponges			
Oponges			
Single Service / Single Use			
Items			
Physical Facility – floors,			
walls, ceilings, lighting,			
ventilation, dressing rooms,			
premises maintained,			
unnecessary items, cleaning			
equip storage, separation from			
living quarters, laundry, plbg.			
maint., outer openings			
Garbage and Refuse			
Storage / Disposal –			
maintenance, facilities			
Number of Critical Violati	ions Missa	d ("X"s)·	PASS FAIL
Of Official Violati		~ (	
Individual Establishment: % =	Percent of c	ritical violations ide	entified by LHD.
Example: One critical violation	n not identifie		-
<u>PASS</u> : 1 = 93%, 2 = 86%, 3 = 8			
<u>FAIL</u> : 4 = 71%, 5 = 64%, 6 = 57	%, 7 = <b>50</b> %,	8 = 43%, 9 = 36%, 10	0 = 29%, 11 = 21%, 12 = 14%

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:
Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

Field Inspection Report – MPR 7, 8

Establishment: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Est # \_\_\_\_\_ CFM : Y N

**MDA Inspection Notes Demonstration of** Knowledge **Consumer Advisory** Employee Health reporting, exclusion, restriction, eating, smoking, tasting, handling animals **No Bare Hand** Contact **Handwashing** – hands washed, handwashing procedures, sinks provided / located **Date Marking-Discarding** Food - Approved Source, shellstock tags, record parasite destruction, crosscontamination, condition, re-service, highly suscept. рор. Food Time/temp cooking, cooling, hot/cold holding, reheating, time Food Contact Surfaces material, cleanable, clean, frequency, maintenance Sanitization - temp, concentration, procedure Chemical – food additives. sulfites, storage, approved labeling, medications

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial Establishment #: Pest Control -minimized, animals Water Supply / **Sewage Disposal** Plumbing / **Cross Connection NON-CRITICAL** Toilet/Lav Facilitiesaccessible, signs, hot water, soap, vent, towel, doors, covered recp Personnel fingernails, jewelry, outer clothing, hair restraints Food Protection - thawing, covered, off floor, approved storage location, labeling Equip/Utensils - nonfood contact: condition. materials, cleanliness // inuse storage, capacity, thermometer, handling, storage, vented, test kit tableware, warewashing Linens, Wiping Cloths, **Sponges** Single Service / Single Use Items Physical Facility - floors, walls, ceilings, lighting, ventilation, dressing rooms, premises maintained, unnecessary items, cleaning equip storage, separation from living quarters, laundry, plbq. Maint., outer openings Garbage and Refuse Storage / Disposal maintenance, facilities

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:
Review Period: Reviewer(s): Review Dates: Initial

#### Field Component Table MPR 7

Establishment Name / #	Pace	Fail
	газэ	Fail
	ı	
Percent Pass		
reiceill rass		
MDD is Mot		
MPR is Met; Met w/ Conditions		
Not Mot		

Not Met

Met: 80% of LHD inspections pass. Met w/ Condition: 70 to 79% pass. Not Met: Less than 70% pass.

<b>Food Service Ass</b>	essment Forms	Agency:	
Review Dates:	Review Period:	Reviewer(s):	Initial

#### MPR 8 Table

#### **Establishment Number**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	%
Knowledge																											
Consumer Advisory																											
Employee Health																											
Bare Hand Contact																											
Handwash																											
Date Marking																											
Food																											
Time/temp																											
Food contact surf																											
Sanitize																											
Chemical																											
Pest Control																											
Water Sewage																											
Plbg. Cross connect																											
Toilet /Lav Facilities																											
Personnel																											
Food protection																											
Equip / Utensil																											
Linen, cloth, spoon																											
Single Service/use																											
Physical Facility																											
Garbage																											

"X"s denote vid	olations found	during the	tield	evaluation	bv	MDA
-----------------	----------------	------------	-------	------------	----	-----

Data is obtained from each "Field Inspection Report - MPR 7, 8" in the sample.

Met - No violation category on MPR Table 8 is marked more than 40% of the time.

**Met with Condition** – Any critical violation category is marked between 40% and 59% on Table MPR 8. **OR** any one non-critical violation category is marked more than 59% on table MPR 8.

**Not Met –**Any <u>critical</u> violation category on MPR Table 8 is marked 60% or more of the time. **OR** any two or more <u>non-critical</u> violation categories on MPR Table 8 are marked 60% or more of the time.

This MPR is Met: <sub>.</sub>	, Met with Conditions _	, Not Met:

<sup>&</sup>quot; $\sqrt{}$ " denote violations also identified by the LHD

<sup>&</sup>quot;⊗" denote violations for which formal enforcement is in progress (do not count towards determining % establishments in violation)

<sup>&</sup>quot;%" means percent of establishments in violation

Food Service Assessment Forms Agency:
Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

### Field New Construction Report – MPR 12

Establishment:			Est. #
Item	Viol.	Comments	
Chemical			
Equipment/Utensils Material / Installation			
Food Display Protection			
Hand Sinks / Supplies			
Hot Holding Facilities			
Hot Water			
Laundry			
Lighting			
Mop Sink			
Outer Openings			
Personal Item Storage			
Plumbing			
Refrigeration			
Room Finishes			
Sewage Disposal			
Solid Waste			
Storage FUELSS			
Thermometers			
Toilets			
Ventilation			
Warewashing Equip			
Water Supply			
Total Number of violations: MPR 12: Pass I		or more construction vi	riolations)

Review Dates: Review Period: Reviewer(s): Initial

#### **New Construction Summary Table – MPR 12**

#### **Total violations**

Violation Categories	Estab. #	1	2	3	4	per category
Chemical						
Equipment/Utensils Material / Ir	nstallation					
Food Display Protection						
Hand Sinks / Supplies						
Hot Holding Facilities						
Hot Water						
Laundry						
Lighting						
Mop Sink						
Outer Openings						
Personal Item Storage						
Plumbing						
Refrigeration						
Room Finishes						
Sewage Disposal						
Solid Waste						
Storage FUELSS						
Thermometers						
Toilets						
Ventilation						
Warewashing Equipment						
Water Supply						

Total violations / FSE		

Data obtained from "Field New Construction Report – MPR 12" Individual establishment pass/fail: 1 to 2 total violation categories = pass. 3 or more = fail.

**Met:** All 4 establishments pass and no violation category in the summary table is checked more than twice.

**Met with Conditions:** Three establishments pass - OR – Four establishments pass and one violation category in the summary table is checked three or four times.

**Not Met:** Two or less of the establishments pass - OR - More than one violation category in the summary table is checked three or four times.